

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/806,370	10/03/2001	Randall K. Holmes	33,383-00	8568
,			EXAMINER	
38199 7590 03/10/2004 HOWSON AND HOWSON			PORTNER, VIRGINIA ALLEN	
CATHY A KODROFF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE SPRING HOUSE CORPORATE CENTER BOX 457			1645	
	USE, PA 19477		DATE MAILED: 03/10/200	)4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/806,370	HOLMES ET AL.  Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		
	Ciany Bortner	1645	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	annears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	e address
C Dambe			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mestatute, cause the application to become mailing date of this communication, even	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered  ONTHS from the mailing date of	timety. this communication. ).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>10 November 2003</u> .		
7h\l l	This action is non-linal.		o the merite is
— - us - stantian in condition for all	lowance except for formal m	latters, prosecution as t	O HIE HIEHKS IS
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) X Claim(s) <u>1-17,24-26 and 28-43</u> is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-17,28-37 and</u> 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-17,24-43</u> is/are rejected.	39-43 is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.	
7) Claim(s) <u>12,38</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-17,24-26 and 28-43</u> are subje	ct to restriction and/or electi	on requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	Lie buthe Eveniner	
is/are: a)	I secepted of b) □ objected	O DY THE EXAMINET.	5(a).
			≥ 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	correction is required if the dia	willig(s) is objected to. •••	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the atta	CHEC CHICE ACTION OF TO	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the	foreign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	, o. e. g., p		
The series of the priority doc	cuments have been received	l.	
— doc	suments have been received	I III Application 140	_ <del></del> ·
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of t	he priority documents have	been received in this N	ational Stage
ligation from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copie	s not received.	
- See the attached detailed Smoot delieve			
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-413)	
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT	948) Par	er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Applica	ation (PTO-152)

are Auton Commons

Art Unit: 1645

#### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-17, 24-26, 28-42 and 43 are pending.

### Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 24-26 define over the prior art of record but are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Resolution of this issue would define allowable claimed subject matter.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Newly submitted claims 1-17,28-37, 39-42 and 43 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

  Independent claim 1 is directed to a plurality of embodiments of inventions which comprise:
  - a. A composition that comprises an antigen and a polynucleotide sequence that encodes the mutant cholera holotoxin (1(a-i) together with 1(b-ii))
  - b. A composition that comprises at least one, as well as a combination of a plurality of polynucleotides that encode a genus of antigens together with a polynucleotide sequence that encodes the mutant cholera holotoxin; (1(a-ii) together with 1(b-ii))
  - c. A composition that comprises at least one, as well as a combination of a plurality of polynucleotides that encode a genus of antigens together with a mutant cholera holotoxin protein; (1(a-ii) together with 1(b-i))

All of these compositions structurally (polynucleotides structurally differ from amino acids) and functionally define independent and distinct inventions which differ from the antigen compositions that comprise one or more antigens, together with a mutant protein cholera holotoxin.

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/806,370

Art Unit: 1645

Additionally, a single species of a polynucleotide sequence in a plasmid that encodes 2. HSV gD2 protein antigen together with a protein mutant cholera holotoxin, is clearly independent and distinct from compositions that comprise polynucleotides that encode antigens obtained from a fungus, bacterial or any other virus or viral antigens. What is now claimed is a genus of compositions that are directed to a genus of patentably independent and distinct inventions that structurally and functionally differ from the compositions previously examined.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, sections (a-ii and b-ii) of claims 1-17,28-37, 39-42 and 43 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Rejections/Objections Withdrawn

- Claim 24 objected to because of the following informalities for not reciting the term 3. encodes—has been obviated by amendment of the claim.
- Claim 27 (the claimed invention was directed to non-statutory subject matter) is no longer rejected under 35 USC 101, as the claim has been canceled.
- Claims 2,3,29,5,31,7,33,12, 38, 14,40,15,41,15,42,26, and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for various reasons set forth in paragraph 8, have been obviated through amendment of the claim to address the clarity of the claims.
- All prior art rejections have been herein withdrawn in light of the amendment of the independent claim to require the combination of a mutant cholera holotoxin, the mutation being in position 29, the mutation not being the substitution of aspartic acid for glutamic acid together with at least one antigen.

### Rejections Maintained

Claims 12 and 38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with 7. the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with

Application/Control Number: 09/806,370

Art Unit: 1645

which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, is maintained for reasons of record, as subject matter incorporated by reference to a published journal article and claimed as a critical component of the invention is improper.

8. Claims 1-17, 24-42 rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph for reciting a position without a reference sequence is maintained in light of the fact that cholera toxin subunit A is known to have sequence variation (see Swiss Prot Accession numbers Q8vL16, Q81356, and P01555.)

### Response to Arguments

- 9. The rejection of claims 12 and 38 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement is traversed on the grounds that the sequence for HSV gD2 antigen was known at the time of filing and therefore the claimed antigen compositions are enabled.
- application by reference to Pachuk et al, in Example 13, pages 109-113 of the instant specification is improper because an effective Declaration has not been submitted. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ

163 (CCPA 1973); In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

What is now claimed is an antigenic composition, the plasmid being the antigen; Applicant has not shown that any plasmid comprising any coding sequence of HSV gD2 antigen would function as a vaccine antigen. The specification fails to provide an enabling disclosure for the preparation and use of any compositions, including viral vector compositions comprising nucleic acids encoding HSV gD2 antigens because it fails to provide adequate guidance regarding how one would have prepared a nucleic acid which when introduced into a host would induce an immune response against the protein encoded by said nucleic acid. In contrast to direct protein immunogens, nucleic acids are required to target appropriate cell types within a host, become transcriptionally active, appropriately process any encoded proteins and present such proteins to the host in a manner suitable for recognition by the host's immune system. Such a "gene therapy" approach to epitope delivery suffers from all the limitations associated with gene therapy technology. However, as of 12/95, the artisan did not accept, in the absence of suitable and particular guidance, that such could have been accomplished without having had to have exercised undue experimentation. See e.g. NIH Report Reference. The enablement rejection is maintained for reasons of record.

11. The rejection of claims 1-17, 24-42 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph for reciting a position without a reference sequence is maintained in light of the fact that cholera toxin subunit A is known to have sequence variation (see Swiss Prot Accession numbers Q8vL16, Q81356, and P01555) is traversed on the grounds that the specification refers to Mekalanos et al, 1983, Nature.

Art Unit: 1645

It is the position of the examiner that sequence variation for cholera toxin is known in the 12. art (see Swiss Prot Accession numbers Q8vL16, Q81356, and P01555) and if the sequence of Mekalanos et al is the reference sequence intended, resolution of improper incorporation by reference to critical subject matter could be obviated through submission of an effective Declaration. It is not clear whether the A-subunit number starts with or without the signal sequence, as the type of A-subunit could be in the pro-toxin form or in the form where the signal sequence has been cleaved; based upon these two situations, the numbering from the N-terminal of the protein antigen would result in a different position for substitution of the amino acid. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ163 (CCPA 1973); In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

# New Claim Limitations/New Grounds of Objection/ Rejection Claim Objections

Claims 12 and 38 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 12 and 13. 38 depend from sections of the independent claim which have been withdrawn from

Application/Control Number: 09/806,370

Art Unit: 1645

consideration. Amendment of claims 12 and 38 into independent form could obviate this objection. Appropriate correction is required.

### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 14. disclosure 15.
- Jobling et al (J. of Bacteriology, 2001) is cited to show characterization of site-directed mutants of cholera toxin.
- 16. Lobet et al (1991) is cited to show site-directed mutagenesis of E.coli heat labile enterotoxin, and suggests the mutagenesis of glutamic acids in the A1 subunit, at conserved positions 15, 29, 110, 112 and 159; as well as teaches a correlation between glutamic acid positions in both E.coli heat labile enterotoxin and cholera toxin (see abstract page 2870; page 2875, col. 1, second paragraph, last sentence bridging over to col. 2, first sentence).
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/806,370

Art Unit: 1645

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 18.

examiner should be directed to Ginny Portner whose telephone number is (571) 272-0862. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 M-F, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vgp

March 5, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**